Application No. Applicant(s) TAKAHASHI ET AL. 10/620.758 Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2671 Said Broome All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Said Broome. (3) Richard Hjerpe. (4)____ (2) Michael Shea. Date of Interview: 21 November 2005. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1-76. Identification of prior art discussed: Hot shots Golf 3 Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: so hele-(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. Agreed that claims 1-26 read over prior art to Hot Shots Golf 3. claim 5 1-26 are in condition for allowance. The 102 and 103 rejections are withdrawn based on Hot Shots Golf 3 and the application is in condition for allowance.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required